Appendix 1

Children's Services Portfolio - Cllr. Lin Hazell

- Vulnerable children are safe and protected from harm
  Red

▶ Quality
  Amber

	Measure	Good to be	Data period	Target	Mar 2016	Jun 2016	Sep 2016	Dec 2016	Mar 2017	Latest performance (RAG)	Benchmarks	Narrative
1	% of case audits with a good or outstanding rating	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	60%	➡ 71%	⬆ 83%	⬇ 61%			★ Green		
2	% of social work staff supervisions completed	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	89%	⬆ 67%	⬆ 69%	⬇ 57%			■ Red		See summary report for commentary
3	% of EH Panel referrals that meet level 3 threshold	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	85%	⬆ 96%	⬇ 82%	⬆ 94%			★ Green		
4	% children who have management oversight on their journey 4 weekly		Data currently unavailable									This measure is currently under development
5	% repeat referrals	Low	Month only (not quarterly data)	25%	⬆ 25%	⬊ 33%	⬇ 36%			■ Red	Statistical Neighbour Average (2015): 23% National Average (2015): 24%	See summary report for commentary
6	Number of children with a repeat Child Protection Plan		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	93	104	109			▫ Monitor		21% of overall coming in are repeat compared to 24% last year (15/16). However the number of children starting on a repeat is on a downward trend, suggesting a legacy of children who have had repeat plans. 2014/15 CIN Census % repeats in line with England and South East. 2015/16 data published this month.

▶ Voice of the child
  Red

	Measure	Good to be	Data period	Target	Mar 2016	Jun 2016	Sep 2016	Dec 2016	Mar 2017	Latest performance (RAG)	Benchmarks	Narrative
7	Children seen as part of assessment within 5 working days from point of referral		Data currently unavailable									This measure is currently under development
8	% children seen during assessment	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	100%	⬇ 91%	⬆ 99%	➡ 95%			● Amber		See summary report for commentary
9	% of children in need (not including CP, CLA) seen in the last 4 weeks	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	100%	⬈ 72%	⬇ 70%	⬆ 73%			■ Red		See summary report for commentary

Measure	Good to be	Data period	Target							Latest performance (RAG)	Benchmarks	Narrative
10	% of children subject to a Child Protection Plan seen in the last 2 weeks	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	98%	↑ 90%	↘ 85%	⬇ 83%			■ Red		See summary report for commentary
11	% Children Looked After seen in the last 6 weeks	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	100%	↘ 93%	⬇ 90%	↑ 98%			● Amber		See summary report for commentary

▶ Demand
None

	Measure	Good to be	Data period	Target	Mar 2016	Jun 2016	Sep 2016	Dec 2016	Mar 2017	Latest performance (RAG)	Benchmarks	Narrative
12	Number of families coming through the Early Help Panel		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	47	56	32			▫ Monitor		
13	Number of new contacts		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	1241	1349	1429			▫ Monitor		
14	Number of new referrals		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	737	722	657			▫ Monitor		
15	Number of new assessments		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	387	455	441			▫ Monitor		
16	Number of children in need (not including CP,CLA)		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	1756	1711	1695			▫ Monitor		
17	Rate per 10,000 children in need (not including CP, CLA)		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	147.7	143.9	142.6			▫ Monitor		
18	Number of children subject to a Child Protection Plan		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	446	502	535			▫ Monitor		
19	Rate per 10,000 children subject to a Child Protection Plan		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	37.5	42.2	45.0			▫ Monitor	Statistical Neighbour Average (2015): 52.1 S East Average (2015): 40.9 National Average (2015): 42.9	
20	Total number of CLA		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	463	435	442			▫ Monitor		
21	Rate per 10,000 children looked after		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	38.9	36.6	37.2			▫ Monitor	Statistical Neighbour Average (2015): 69.1 S East Average (2015): 49.0 National Average (2015): 60.0	
22	Number of Care Proceedings		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor		89.0	82.0			▫ Monitor		
23	Number of new Care Proceedings		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor		7.0	7.0			▫ Monitor		

▶ Reviewing children's Plans
Amber

	Measure	Good to be	Data period	Target	Mar 2016	Jun 2016	Sep 2016	Dec 2016	Mar 2017	Latest performance (RAG)	Benchmarks	Narrative
24	% of children in need reviewed in last 3 months (not including Child Protection, Children Looked After)	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	85%	64%	60%	55%			■ Red		Detailed action plan in place to ensure that both number of plans and their quality are improved. (Ofsted Improvement Plan)
25	% of Child Protection Plans reviewed in timescales	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	95%	94%	97%	97%			★ Green		
26	% of CLA have their reviews completed on time	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	95%	95%	94%	97%			★ Green		

▶ Timeliness of the journey of the child
Red

	Measure	Good to be	Data period	Target	Mar 2016	Jun 2016	Sep 2016	Dec 2016	Mar 2017	Latest performance (RAG)	Benchmarks	Narrative
27	% of contacts received actioned within 24 hours (1 working day)	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	90%	71%	76%	62%			■ Red		See further commentary in summary report
28	% referrals completed within 3 working days	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	100%	74%	74%	85%			■ Red		See further commentary in summary report
29	% assessments completed in 45 working days	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	100%	92%	93%	93%			● Amber	2014/15 England 82% S East 81 % Bucks 83%	See further commentary in summary report
30	% ICPC held within 15 working days of the strategy discussion	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	100%	56%	79%	80%			■ Red		See further commentary in summary report

▶ Sufficiency of children's placements
Red

	Measure	Good to be	Data period	Target	Mar 2016	Jun 2016	Sep 2016	Dec 2016	Mar 2017	Latest performance (RAG)	Benchmarks	Narrative
31	% of CLA placed in county	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	55.0%	48%	47%	49%			■ Red	S East Average (2015): 65% National Average (2015): 61%	See further commentary in summary report
32	% of CLA are placed no more than 20 miles away from home	High	Month only (not quarterly data)	50.0%	43%	39%	41%			■ Red	S East Average (2015): 69% National Average (2015): 77%	See further commentary in summary report
33	% of children in foster care placed with a BCC carer		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	30%	30%	29%			□ Monitor		
34	Number of children in residential placements		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	83.0	73.0	52.0			□ Monitor		
35	% of children in residential placements		Month only (not quarterly data)	Monitor	18%	17%	12%			□ Monitor		

▶ Permanency for children
None

	Measure	Good to be	Data period	Target	Mar 2016	Jun 2016	Sep 2016	Dec 2016	Mar 2017	Latest performance (RAG)	Benchmarks	Narrative
36	Average time (in days) between Placement Order and matching to an adoptive family		Average, rolling year	Monitor	192	181	145			▫ Monitor		
37	Number of adoptions (financial year)		Cumulative (year to date)	Monitor	38	13	20			▫ Monitor		